

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/715,864	11/17/2000	Brent D. McLaws	MC57-001	8952	
21567 7	2590 06/02/2004		EXAMINER		
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S.			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S		
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752	· W	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	1 (7)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					u			
		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/715,864		MCLAWS ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Christopher S.		3752				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	E of this communication app	pears on the co	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be availat after SIX (6) MONTHS from the m  - If the period for reply specified ab  - If NO period for reply is specified  - Failure to reply within the set or each	ORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THIS COMMUNICATION. ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 bailing date of this communication. bove is less than thirty (30) days, a repl above, the maximum statutory period of the depriod for reply will, by statute after than three months after the mailing the 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, h ly within the statutory will apply and will exp e, cause the applicatio	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status								
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 11 M	1arch 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINA	)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
3) ☐ Since this application	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance	ce with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•							
4a) Of the above cla 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>24-35</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/a	re rejected. re objected to.	wn from consid						
8) Claim(s) are	subject to restriction and/o	or election requi	rement.					
Application Papers								
	objected to by the Examine			_				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	sheet(s) including the correct				ED 4 404(4)			
	ion is objected to by the Ex				* *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19							
a) All b) Some *  1. Certified copi 2. Certified copi 3. Copies of the application from	made of a claim for foreign c) None of: es of the priority document es of the priority document certified copies of the priority the International Burea ailed Office action for a list	ts have been re ts have been re prity documents u (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been receive (.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (P'	FO.802\	ا ۸	Interview Service	/DTO 4423				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Pater			☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da ☐ Notice of Informal P ☐ Other:	ate	O-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

- 1. Response filed March 11, 2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### Specification

3. The amendment filed September 8, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: paragraph on page 1, beginning at line 14, insertion of the term "not" contradicts the original disclosure.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 24-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 24 and 33 recite the limitation "predetermined microdots." The

Application/Control Number: 09/715,864

Art Unit: 3752

specification fails to teach any particular or predetermined microdots. It is unknown what "microdots" are being claimed by applicant.

5. Claims 24-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 24 and 33 recite the limitation "predetermined microdots." The specification fails to disclose "predetermined microdots." The plain meaning of "microdot" is

A copy or photograph that has been reduced to an extremely small size for ease of transport and purposes of security.

The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company.

Another possibility is that "microdots" are considered micro-sized dots. In which case, any material, at it's microscopic level, can be considered to be made up of microdots. The metes and bounds of the claim cannot be determined.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 24-28 and 30-35 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inglis (956,101).

Inglis discloses an identifier label applicator comprising: a container 1; a plurality of predetermined identifier labels (and base fluid) 2; a discharge aperture 12; a fluid intake 8; a dynamic fluid conduit 9, 21; a container valve 20.

Application/Control Number: 09/715,864

Art Unit: 3752

7. Claims 24-29 and 31-35 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McRitchie (3,236,459).

McRitchie discloses an identifier label applicator comprising: a container 18; a plurality of predetermined identifier labels (and base fluid) 190; a discharge aperture 130; a fluid intake (connection to tube 40); a dynamic fluid conduit 40, 182; a container valve 42.

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed March 11, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the sentence,

It is also desirable to provide an application in which the same components or parts are exposed to multiple different identifier labels because it may be difficult to clean or remove all the particles from one application before the next application is commenced.

applicant argues that anyone reading the sentence would recognize that it does not make sense if the same components or parts "are exposed". The sentence mere states that because of difficulty in removing all the particles it is desirable to expose components or parts to multiple different identifier labels. Adding the word "not" does not merely correct a typographical error or an obvious error. Rather, inserting the word "not" gives the sentence a completely opposite meaning. Applicant is changing the sentence to completely negate what was originally stated.

Äpplication/Control Number: 09/715,864

Art Unit: 3752

Applicant argues that the term "microdot" has been used in prior applications and is well known in the art. The term "microdot" is given its plain meaning and meaning as used in the art, but applicant's specification fails to disclose "predetermined microdots".

Applicant argues that the prior art does not disclose "drawing" the mixture. See Inglis, page 1, line 77. See McRitchie, for example, column 7, line 52, "aspiration".

Applicant argues that McRitchie does not disclose the steps of claim 33. Method steps of claim 33 are met in utilizing the device of McRitchie in spraying such fluids as disclosed in column 1, lines 45-55.

### Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (703)

Application/Control Number: 09/715,864

Art Unit: 3752

308-8336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM -

5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306

for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 3752

CK

May 19, 2004